

Subject: Report Deadline comment for ETAAC meeting

From: Marianne Messina <mhdekm@yahoo.com>

Date: Thu, 13 Dec 2007 11:21:26 -0800 (PST)

To: schurch@arb.ca.gov

Hi, I'm Marianne Messina, environmental journalist,
listening by webcast

As I've watched this implementation process, including ARB board meetings, I've come to the conclusion that ARB would benefit greatly from a more ongoing exchange with ETAAC. Right now the Scoping plan process is inundated with feedback from established industry. A good injection of the possibilities and realistic projections could push these discussions in a more forward-thinking direction.

I also think, as other speakers have said, ETAAC needs to take more time developing details.

What would be ideal would be if ETAAC supplied the planned report to ARB in January, under the rubric of a "working draft" to be fine tuned based on CARB/public feedback. This could stimulate in-depth problem-solving dialogue between ARB members and ETAAC with its unique, important perspective. I feel that even a one-month dialogue of this sort would be extremely beneficial to the process.

I would also suggest that ETAAC request or offer a consulting presence in the early Scoping plan process.

Sincerely,
Marianne Messina

Be a better friend, newshound, and
know-it-all with Yahoo! Mobile. Try it now. http://mobile.yahoo.com/;_ylt=Ahu06i62sR8HDtDypao8Wcj9tAcJ